
12:13





International application No. INTERNATIONAL'SEARCH REPORT PCT/AU2004/901110 CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int. CL 7: CO7H 15/14; A61K 31/70; A61P 31/04 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC FIELDS SEARCHED R Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) STN: Partial Substucture Search based on exemplified compounds DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT C. Relevant to Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category* claim No. WO 2003/070715 A (MONASH UNIVERSITY et al) 28 August 2003 1-27 P,X Whole Document Owen et al, "A one-pot synthesis of novel N.N-dialkyl-S-glycosylsulfenamides", A Carbohydrate Research, Vol. 328, 2000, pages 287-292 Yang et al, "Application of New Camphor-Derived Mercapto Chiral Auxiliaries to the A Synthesis of Optically Active Primary Amines", STN File CA Online Abstract No. 121:34414 & Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1994, Vol. 59(4), pages 914-921 Cremlyn et al, "DL-Camphor-3-sulfonic acid and other keto e-sulfonic acids", STN A File CA Online Abstract No. 111:134492 & Phosphorus and Sulfur and the Related Elements, 1988, 39(3-4), pages 165-171 See patent family annex X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C Special categories of cited documents later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in document defining the general state of the art which is "A" conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory not considered to be of particular relevance underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel earlier application or patent but published on or after the or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken international filing date alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition "&" document morriber of the same patent family document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Dute of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 6 OCT 2004 30 September 2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU Authorized officer **AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE** PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA R.L. POOLEY

Telephone No: (02) 6283-2242

E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

12:13





INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/AU2004/001110

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first skeet) This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1.				
reasons: 1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: 2. X Claims Nos.: 1-27, 29-33 (in part) because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependant claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and beterocyclic sulfenantide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: 2. X Claims Nos.: 1-27, 29-33 (in part) because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependant claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and heterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
2. X Claims Nos.: 1-27, 29-33 (in part) because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependant claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and heterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and betterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and betterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and heterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: Claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-27 and 29-33 encompass a broad and diverse range of carbocyclic and heterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
heterocyclic sulfenamide oxide compounds such that a meaningful search covering the full breadth of these claims is not feasible. Accordingly, for economic reasons the search has been largely based on the				
galactoruranosyi and glucolulanosyi surialianine battle composites supported by the description				
3. Claims Nos.:				
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)				
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:				
•				
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.				
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.				
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:				
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:				
•				
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.				
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No. PCT/AU2004/001110

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report			Patent Family Member		
			•		
wo	2003/070715	NIL	·		
Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.					
			END OF ANNEX		